This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problems Mailbox.

PATENT COOPERATIO' REATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

Commissioner

US Department of Commerce

United States Patent and Trademark

Office, PCT

2011 South Clark Place Room

CP2/5C24

Arlington, VA 22202

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 28 March 2001 (28.03.01)	ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE in its capacity as elected Office
International application No. PCT/IB00/00836	Applicant's or agent's file reference PC/MGM/21569
International filing date (day/month/year) 12 June 2000 (12.06.00)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09 July 1999 (09.07.99)
Applicant	
LIAO, Hongtao et al	

1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:	
	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:	
	09 February 2001 (09.02.01)	
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:	
2.	The election X was	
	was not	
	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).	

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Pascal Piriou

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 18 January 2001 (18.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 01/05162 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: G06F 11/00, 11/26
- H04N 17/04,
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/IB00/00836
- (22) International Filing Date: 12 June 2000 (12.06.2000)
- (25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data: 99401744.0

9 July 1999 (09.07.1999) E

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CANAL+ SOCIETE ANONYME [FR/FR]; 85/89, quai Andre Citroen, F-75711 Paris Cedex 15 (FR).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): LIAO, Hongtao [FR/FR]; 4, rue du Canal, F-78180 Montigny-Btx (FR). MASSON, Bruno [FR/FR]: Canal+ Technologies Société Anonyme, 34, place Raoul Dautry, F-75516 Paris Cedex 15 (FR). BEUQUE, Jean-Bernard, Gerard, Maurice [FR/FR]; 132, rue Victor Hugo, F-92270 Bois-Colombes (FR).

- (74) Agents: COZENS, Paul, Dennis et al.; Mathys & Squire, 100 Gray's Inn Road, London WC1X 8AL (GB).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM). European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

With international search report.

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

1/05162 A

(54) Title: APPARATUS FOR AND METHOD OF TESTING SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS

(57) Abstract: Apparatus for testing an application for a receiver/decoder, for example, for a television system, is disclosed, the apparatus comprising means for simulating a function of the receiver/decoder. Apparatus for editing and testing an application, and an associated workstation are also disclosed. Also disclosed is a method of testing applications, in which a function of a receiver/decoder is simulated.

14

PCT

REC'D 1 6 OCT 2001

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's	or agent's file reference		See Notification of Transmittal of International
PC/MGM	1/21569	FOR FURTHER ACTION	N Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
Internation	al application No.	International filing date (day/mo	onth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/IB0	0/00836	12/06/2000	09/07/1999
International H04N17/) or national classification and IPC	
Applicant			
CANAL+	SOCIETE ANONYME	et al.	
		examination report has been prepar cant according to Article 36.	ared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This l	REPORT consists of a to	otal of 9 sheets, including this cover	er sheet.
b (:	een amended and are th	ne basis for this report and/or sheets ion 607 of the Administrative Instru	f the description, claims and/or drawings which hav ts containing rectifications made before this Authority actions under the PCT).
3. This r	_	s relating to the following items:	
1	☑ Basis of the report☐ Priority	Į.	
;; HI	_	nt of opinion with regard to novelty	inventive step and industrial applicability
IV	☐ Lack of unity of in		miconitive step and maderial approaching
V	☑ Reasoned statement		to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI	☐ Certain documen	· •	
VII	☐ Certain defects in	the international application	·
VIII	□ Certain observation	ons on the international application	
Date of sub	mission of the demand	Date (of completion of this report
09/02/20	01	12.10	0.2001
	mailing address of the internet examining authority:	ational Autho	orized officer
<u></u>	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5	Moo:	orinouse, D
	Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Taken	To an age



l. Basis	f the	report
----------	-------	--------

1.	the and	receiving Office in	ments of the international appressions to an invitation under this report since they do no	ler Article 14 are	referred to in this re	eport as "originally filed"
	1-2	9	as originally filed			
	Cla	ims, No.:		-		
	1-2	7,28 (part)	as originally filed			
	28 ((part),29-42	as received on	24/09/2001	with letter of	24/09/2001
	Dra	wings, sheets:				
	1/1:	3-13/13	as originally filed			
2.	With lang	h regard to the lang guage in which the	guage, all the elements mark international application was	ed above were a filed, unless oth	vailable or furnishe erwise indicated un	d to this Authority in the der this item.
	The	ese elements were	available or furnished to this	Authority in the fo	ollowing language:	, which is:
		the language of a	translation furnished for the	ourposes of the i	nternational search	(under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pu	ublication of the international	application (und	er Rule 48.3(b)).	
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3).	translation furnished for the p	ourposes of inter	national preliminary	examination (under Rule
3.			cleotide and/or amino acid or examination was carried o			
		contained in the in	nternational application in writ	ten form.		
		filed together with	the international application	in computer read	lable form.	
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in writt	en form.	•	
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in com	puter readable fo	orm.	
			t the subsequently furnished pplication as filed has been f		e listing does not g	o beyond the disclosure in
		The statement that listing has been fu	at the information recorded in irrished.	computer reada	ble form is identical	to the written sequence
4.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the cancellation	of:		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB00/00836

		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
5.		•	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been rond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):
		(Any replacement sh report.)	reet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this
6.	Add	itional observations, i	f necessary:
III.	Non	n-establishment of o	pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
1.			e claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ally applicable have not been examined in respect of:
		the entire internation	al application.
		claims Nos	
be	caus	e:	
			application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does ational preliminary examination (<i>specify</i>):
	⊠		ns or drawings (<i>indicate particular elements below</i>) or said claims Nos. 15, 31, 32 are so ningful opinion could be formed (<i>specify</i>):
		the claims, or said claims, or said claims.	aims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion
		no international sear	ch report has been established for the said claims Nos
2.	and	•	Il preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide nce listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative
		the written form has	not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
			le form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
		•	

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Boxes I-VIII, Sheet 2) (July 1998)

citations and explanations supporting such statement

International application No. PCT/IB00/00836

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 3-10,17,18,20,23,24,27,28,33-42

No: Claims 1,2,11-14,16,19,21,22,25,26,29,30

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 3-10,17,18,20,23,24,27,28,33-42

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-14, 16-30, 33-42

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

Conc rning Box III

Claims 31 and 32 do not meet the requirements set out in Rule 6.2 (a) PCT. Consequently, these claims are so unclear, that no meaningful opinion as to the requirements set out in Article 33 PCT can be given.

Furthermore, claim 15 is so unclear ("a screen which represents a piece of hardware with which a receiver/decoder may interact"), that no meaningful opinion as to the requirements set out in Article 33 PCT can be given.

Concerning Box V

The following documents are cited:

D1 : WO-A-98/43433

D2: WO-A-98/43165

The subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 11-14, 16, 19, 21, 22, 25, 26, 29 and 30 lacks novelty, and therefore does not meet the requirement set out in Article 33 (2) PCT.

Document D2 discloses an apparatus (4001, 2021) for testing an application for a receiver / decoder, comprising means (2021) for simulating a function of a/the receiver decoder.

Thus, the subject-matter of independent apparatus claim 1 and corresponding independent method claim 25 is known from document D2. Since the apparatus in document D2 includes a computer workstation, a computer program (product) is also (implicitly) disclosed. Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claims 29 and 30 is also known from document D2.

In this analysis, the duplicate STB 2021 has been considered part of the apparatus. This is legitimate in view of the fact that such a configuration is within the claimed scope, as expressly stated in dependent claim 11. The subject-matter of claim 11 also lacks novelty, for this reason.

run in simulated STB 2021).

The subject-matter of claim 2 is likewise known from document D2 (the application is

The subject-matter of claim 12 is known from document D2 (see page 8, third full paragraph).

The subject-matter of claim 13 is known from document D2 (see page 12, lines 5 to 8).

The subject-matter of claim 14 is known from document D2 (see MPEG generator 4045).

The subject-matter of claims 16 and 19 is known from document D2 (see authoring tool 4004, in addition to what has been cited against claims 1 and 25).

The subject-matter of claim 21, 22 and 26 is known from document D2 (see authoring tool 4004, simulated / duplicate STB 2021 with workstation 4013 and application memory 4011 and VDU 4005 as regards claim 21; see the objections to claim 19 and 16 as regards claims 22 and 26).

The subject-matter of claims 3 to 10, 17, 18, 20, 23, 24, 27, 28 and 33 to 42 lacks an inventive step, and therefore does not meet the requirement set out in Article 33 (3) PCT.

The additional features of claims 3 to 9 relate to well known / obvious features of computer workstations such as disclosed in document D2, or of the VM / RTE disclosed in document D1, and are thus of no inventive significance.

The additional features of claims 10, 18 and 24 are obvious in view of the fact that it is better to start by simulating in software only, and then to gain results from a real piece of hardware, however good the simulation might have been (for which reason, car designers go from computer models to wind tunnel models and prototypes).

Since workstations such as that disclosed in document D2 run, by and large, a GUI ("windows") interface, the additional features of claims 17 and 23 also lacks an inventive step.

The additional features of claim 20 relate to obvious functions to be simulated for a receiver, and thus are of no inventive significance.

The provision of a computer_readable medium storing a program as claimed in claims 27 and 28 is extremely obvious in view of the use of a computer workstation in document D2.

As pointed out above with respect to claims 10, 18 and 24, it is better to start by simulating in software only, in this case, of course, the simulation will be customized, i.e. only that which is to be simulated will in fact be modelled and simulated, otherwise, too much time and resources are consumed. Thus, the subject-matter of claims 33, 35, 36 and 38 (see objections to claims 10, 18 and 24 with regard to claim 36) is rendered obvious by the disclosure of document D2, and the knowledge of the skilled person.

The components to be simulated in claim 34 are rendered obvious by the disclosure of document D1.

The additional feature of claim 37 relates to an obvious time and/or cost cutting measure, and is thus of no inventive significance.

Performing all tasks in software is, as pointed out above with respect to the disclosure of claims 10, 18 and 24, an obvious starting point, so that the subject-matter of independent claim 39 and dependent claim 40 is rendered obvious by the disclosure of document D2, combined with the knowledge of the skilled person. The same arguments apply to independent claims 41 and 42.

The remaining documents cited in the International Search Report are less relevant, for reasons which will be apparent from the following brief summary of their disclosures:

US-A-5 659 793 Discloses an authoring program / apparatus for multimedia files. It allows different "time lines" for audio and video objects, and combines objects from the time lines to allow an interactive program to be made. However, there is no simulation, or any details thereof.

US-A-4 654 751 Discloses a "testing jig" which is placed between an MPEG encoder and a decoder of a set top box and which, for testing purposes, emulates the data transfer between a network interface and said decoder. Thus, the testing-jig has to be used in-situ with a decoder to be tested, and does not allow "simulation".

Concerning Box VII

The claims are not in the two-part form (Rule 6.3 (b) PCT).

Reference signs are missing from the claims (Rule 6.2 (b) PCT).

The reference "212" appearing on page 14, line 13 is missing from Figure 5. (Rule 11.13 (I) PCT).

The wording on lines 6 and 7 of pages 6 and 29 constitutes subject-mater pursuant to Rule 9.1 (iv) PCT and, moreover, casts doubt on the scope of protection sought, contrary to Article 6 PCT.

The wording on page 10, line 31 refers to patent specifications previously mentioned. However, no patent applications are cited in the description

Concerning Box VIII

Claims 1 to 3, 7, 16, 19 to 22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 38 to 42 lack clarity, and therefore do not meet the requirement set out in Article 6 PCT.

Claims 1-3, 7, 16, 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 38 to 42

It is not clear from these claims, what an "application" is. Is it an "application program" that forms part of the decoder's soft/firmware? Or is it the use to which the receiver/decoder is put?

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Claim 20

It is not clear from the context of this claim, what the significance of the following terms is: "SERIAL", "PARALLEL", "POINTER", "PICTURE", "SERVICE" and "MLOAD", especially as the claim tries to define these as "devices". Just what is a "picture" or a "service" device ?

10

20

30

- 33 -

carrying out a method of testing an application for a receiver/decoder, the method comprising simulating a function of the receiver/decoder.

- 29. A computer program product comprising a program for carrying out the method of claims 25 or 26.
 - 30. A computer program product comprising a program for carrying out a method of testing an application for a receiver/decoder, the method further comprising simulating a function of the receiver/decoder.
- 31. A method of testing an application for a receiver/decoder substantially as described herein with reference to and as illustrated in the accompanying drawings.
- 32. Apparatus for testing an application for a receiver/decoder substantially 15 as described herein with reference to and as illustrated in the accompanying drawings.
 - 33. Apparatus for testing an application for a receiver/decoder, said apparatus comprising a workstation, said workstation comprising an editor for creating and editing applications, and a simulator for receiving an application from the editor and running the received application to test the application, wherein the simulator comprises at least one simulated component of a receiver/decoder, the simulation being customizable in dependence on the application to be tested.
- 34. Apparatus according to claim 33 wherein said simulated component is at 25 least one of a simulated virtual machine, a simulated device manager and a simulated device.
 - 35. Apparatus according to claim 33 or 34 wherein the simulation is simulated, at least in part, in software.
 - 36. Apparatus according to any of claims 33 to 35 wherein the simulation is simulated, at least in part, in hardware which emulates hardware in a receiver/decoder.
- 37. Apparatus according to claim 36, wherein said hardware comprises hardware belonging to the workstation. 35
 - 38. A method of testing an application for a receiver/decoder using a

-33a-

workstation comprising an editor for creating and editing applications, and a simulator for testing the application, said simulator comprising simulated components of a receiver/decoder, said method comprising the steps of:

customising the simulation in dependence on an application to be tested;

5 and

running the application to test it.

- Apparatus for testing an application for a receiver/decoder, comprising a 39. workstation, said workstation comprising a processor and associated memory adapted to simulate a function of a receiver/decoder.
- 40. Apparatus for editing and testing an application for a receiver/decoder, comprising a processor and associated memory, wherein said processor and associated memory is adapted to edit said application, and apparatus for testing the application according to claim 39.
 - 41. A workstation comprising:

a processor and associated memory, wherein said processor and associated memory is adapted to:

20

30

15

10

edit applications; and

simulate functions of a receiver/decoder; and

a display for displaying an output of an edited application and an output of a simulated function.

25 42. Apparatus for testing an application for a receiver/decoder, comprising a workstation, said workstation comprising:

a processor and associated memory adapted to:

create and edit applications; and

run an application to test it using at least one simulated component of a receiver/decoder, to effect a simulation of a function of the receiver/decoder, said simulation being customizable in dependence on the application to be tested.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	(Form PCT/ISA/	of Transmittal of International Search Report (220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 b low.
PC/MGM/21569 International application No.	ACTION International filing date (day/month/year)	
		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/IB 00/00836	12/06/2000	09/07/1999
Applicant		
CANAL - COCTETE AMONUME A+	•	
CANAL+ SOCIETE ANONYME et	ai.	
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Autannelited to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists X	of a total of2 sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in this	s report.
Basis of the report		
 With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, unl 	international search was carried out on the ba less otherwise indicated under this item.	isis of the international application in the
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of t	the international application furnished to this
was carried out on the basis of the	e sequence listing :	international application, the international search
l =	onal application in written form.	
	ernational application in computer readable for	m.
	o this Authority in written form.	
	o this Authority in computer readble form. Osequently furnished written sequence listing o	done and an house of the displacture in the
international application as	s filed has been furnished.	
the statement that the info furnished	rmation recorded in computer readable form i	is identical to the written sequence listing has been
2. Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is laci	,	
4. With regard to the title ,		
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
X the text has been establish	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
APPARATUS FOR AND METH	HOD OF TESTING SOFTWARE APP	LICATIONS
5. With regard to the abstract,		
TX the text is approved as sul	bmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establish		rity as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, port, submit comments to this Authority.
6. Th figur of the drawlngs to be publi		
as suggested by the applic	cant.	X Non of the figur s.
because th applicant faile	ed to suggest a figure.	
because this figur better	characterizes the inv ntion.	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/IB 00/00836

MATTER G06F11/00

G06F11/26

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 - H04N - G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

	C. DOCUMENTS	CONSIDERED	TO BE RELEVANT
--	--------------	------------	----------------

Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
WO 98 43165 A (CANAL+ SOCIETE ANONYME) 1 October 1998 (1998-10-01) the whole document	1,21,25, 31,32
WO 98 43433 A (CANAL+ SOCIETE ANONYME) 1 October 1998 (1998-10-01) the whole document	1,21,25, 31,32
US 5 659 793 A (ESCOBAR G. ET AL) 19 August 1997 (1997-08-19) column 4, line 63 -column 5, line 2 column 20, line 21 - line 61	1,21,25, 31,32
US 5 654 751 A (RICHARD A.) 5 August 1997 (1997-08-05) the whole document	1,21,25, 31,32
	WO 98 43165 A (CANAL+ SOCIETE ANONYME) 1 October 1998 (1998-10-01) the whole document WO 98 43433 A (CANAL+ SOCIETE ANONYME) 1 October 1998 (1998-10-01) the whole document US 5 659 793 A (ESCOBAR G. ET AL) 19 August 1997 (1997-08-19) column 4, line 63 -column 5, line 2 column 20, line 21 - line 61 US 5 654 751 A (RICHARD A.) 5 August 1997 (1997-08-05)

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
13 September 2000	20/09/2000
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Verschelden, J

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/IB 00/00836

Patent document	Dublication		D 45-15-1
cited in search report	Publication date	Paten amily member(s)	Publication date
WO 9843165 A	01-10-1998	AU 2770797 A	20-10-1998
		EP 0968465 A	05-01-2000
		NO 994537 A	22-11-1999
		PL 335774 A	22-05-2000
		AU 2770697 A AU 7038198 A	20-10-1998
		CN 1254472 A	20-10-1998 24-05-2000
		CN 1260056 A	12-07-2000
		CN 1254477 A	24-05-2000
		CN 1254478 A	24-05-2000
		CN 1254469 A	24-05-2000
		CN 1254423 A	24-05-2000
		CN 1254473 A	24-05-2000
		CN 1254422 A	24-05-2000
		CN 1254475 A CN 1254476 A	24-05-2000 24-05-2000
		CN 1254476 A CN 1254474 A	24-05-2000 24-05-2000
		CN 1255266 T	31-05-2000
		CN 1255212 T	31-05-2000
		CN 1255268 T	31-05-2000
		CN 1257630 T	21-06-2000
		WO 9843425 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843426 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843162 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843431 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843248 A WO 9843415 A	01-10-1998 01-10-1998
		WO 9843415 A WO 9843172 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843433 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843427 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843437 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843167 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843428 A	01-10-1998
		WO 9843421 A	01-10-1998
		EP 0872798 A	21-10-1998
		EP 0866611 A	23-09-1998
		EP 0866616 A EP 0866613 A	23-09-1998 23-09-1998
		EP 0968610 A	05-01-2000
		EP 0968609 A	05-01-2000
		EP 0968607 A	05-01-2000
		EP 0974229 A	26-01-2000
		EP 0974230 A	26-01-2000
	•	EP 0968468 A	05-01-2000
		EP 0968602 A	05-01-2000
		EP 0968611 A	05-01-2000
		EP 0968608 A EP 1010068 A	05-01-2000
		EP 1010068 A EP 1010331 A	21 - 06-2000 21 - 06-2000
WO 9843433 A	01-10-1998	AU 2770997 A	20-10-1998
		EP 0968611 A	05-01-2000
		NO 994540 A	22-11-1999
		PL 335775 A	22-05-2000
		AU 2770697 A AU 7038198 A	20-10-1998 20-10-1998
		CN 1254472 A	24-05-2000
		CN 1254472 A CN 1260056 A	12-07-2000
Form PCT/(SA/210 /notant family appeal / hdy 1992)			

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/IB 00/00836

		,			
Patent document cited in search repo	rt	Publication date	Pa	ater amily member(s)	Publication date
WO 9843433	Α		CN	1254477 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1254478 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1254469 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1254423 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1254473 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1254422 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1254475 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1254476 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1254474 A	24-05-2000
			CN	1255266 T	31-05-2000
			CN	1255212 T	31-05-2000
			CN	1255268 T	31-05-2000
			CN	1257630 T	21-06-2000
			WO	9843425 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843426 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843162 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843431 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843248 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843165 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843415 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843172 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843427 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843437 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843167 A	01-10-1998
			WO	9843428 A	01-10-1998
			MO	9843421 A	01-10-1998
			EP	0872798 A	21-10-1998
			EP	0866611 A	23-09-1998
			EP	0866616 A	23-09-1998
			EP	0866613 A	23-09-1998
			EP	0968610 A	05-01-2000
			EP	0968609 A	05-01-2000
			EP	0968607 A	05-01-2000
			EP	0974229 A	26-01-2000
			EP	0974230 A	26-01-2000
			EP	0968468 A	05-01-2000
			EP	0968465 A	05-01-2000
			EP	0968602 A	05-01-2000
			EP	0968608 A	05-01-2000
			EP EB	1010068 A	21-06-2000
			EP 	1010331 A	21-06-2000
US 5659793	Α	19-08-1997	AU	4688996 A	10-07-1996
			WO	9619779 A	27-06-1996
US 5654751	Α	05-08-1997	NONE		



I. Basis of the opinion

1. This opinion has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".):

	Des	scription, pages:				
	1-2	9	as originally filed			
	Cla	aims, No.:				
	1-3	2	as originally filed			
	Dra	Prawings, sheets:				
	1/13	3-13/13	as originally filed			
2.	. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a t	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).				
3.		With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the int	ternational application in written form.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.				
4.	The	he amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/IB00/00836

	the drawings,	sheets:	
5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):		
	(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this	

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

1, 16, 17, 21-23, 25-30 : No

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

2-15, 18-20, 24 : No

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet



Concerning Box V

The following document is cited:

D1 : WO-A-98/43433

The subject-matter of claims 1, 16, 17, 21 to 23 and 25 to 30 lacks novelty, and therefore does not meet the requirement set out in Article 33 (2) PCT.

In detail, computer workstations for editing applications, for performing simulations, and for displaying the editor and simulator outputs are well known in the computer aided design industry.

Thus, nothing which could be considered as new can be recognised in the subjectmatter of claims 21 and 22.

Such workstations run, by and large, a GUI ("windows") interface, so that the subject-matter of claim 23 also lacks novelty.

Since a workstation is an "apparatus", and has a method of operation, then the same objections apply to independent claims 1 (apparatus), 25 and 26 (methods), and dependent claims 16 and 17.

Moreover, any prior CAD program or storage medium carrying such a program for a workstation denies the subject-matter of independent claims 27 to 30 of novelty.

The subject-matter of claims 2 to 15, 18 to 20 and 24 lacks an inventive step, and therefore does not meet the requirement set out in Article 33 (3) PCT.

The additional feature of claim 2 relates to an obvious way of simulating an isolated function, viz. to simulate its environment as well.

The additional features of claims 3 to 9 relate to well known / obvious features of computer workstations, of the VM / RTE of document D1, and are thus of no inventive significance.



The additional features of claims 10 and 11 are obvious in view of the fact that it is better to possess results from a real piece of hardware, however good a simulation might be (for which reason, car designers go from computer models to wind tunnel models and prototypes).

The additional features of claims 12 to 15, 18, 19 and 24 relate to obvious features of simulation apparatuses, or of the VM / RTE of document D1, and are thus of no inventive significance.

The additional features of claim 20 relate to obvious functions to be simulated for a receiver, and thus are of no inventive significance.

Concerning Box VII

The claims are not in the two-part form (Rule 6.3 (b) PCT).

Reference signs are missing from the claims (Rule 6.2 (b) PCT).

The reference "212" appearing on page 14, line 13 is missing from Figure 5. (Rule 11.13 (I) PCT).

The wording on lines 6 and 7 of pages 6 and 29 constitutes subject-mater pursuant to Rule 9.1 (iv) PCT and, moreover, casts doubt on the scope of protection sought, contrary to Article 6 PCT.

The wording on page 10, line 31 refers to patent specifications previously mentioned. However, no patent applications s are cited in the description

Claims 31 and 32 do not meet the requirements set out in Rule 6.2 (a) PCT.

Concerning Box VIII

Claims 1 to 3, 7, 16, 19 to 22, 24, 26 and 28 lack clarity, and therefore do not meet the requirement set out in Article 6 PCT.



Claims 1-3, 7, 16, 19, 21, 22, 24, 26 and 28

It is not clear from these claims, what an "application" is. Is it an "application program" that forms part of the decoder's soft/firmware? Or is it the use to which the receiver/decoder is put?

Claim 20

It is not clear from the context of this claim, what the significance of the following terms is: "SERIAL", "PARALLEL", "POINTER", "PICTURE", "SERVICE" and "MLOAD", especially as the claim tries to define these as "devices". Just what is a "picture" or a "service" device?